



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,655	03/06/2002	Radha K.C. Pandipati	8430	
7:	590 04/05/2004		EXAM	INER
Radha K.C. Pandipati			MISKA, VIT W	
Kris Engineering Inc. 19531 Desmet Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Montgomery V	illage, MD 20886		2841	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	‡

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/092,655	PANDIPATI, RADHA K.C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vit W. Miska	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ľ	1	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	dummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 5 recite the limitations "alarm time" in claim 4 and "the alarm time setting signals" in claim 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim, i.e. these claims depend from claim 1 and the latter lacks reference to alarm time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,655 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the U.S. Patent to Yuen. The reference discloses an apparatus for controlling a number of clocks remotely including wireless remote controller (10, 300, 1100 or 1300), for setting the time on the remote controller by pressing clock set key 320, locking the data by pressing switch 316, and transmitting the time data to clocks 30, 36, 40, 42 etc, the clocks containing circuitry in Fig. 2 to receive the signals from the remote controller and process the same to set clock 72 therein. With respect to claim 6, IR signal transmitters 390 in the remote control are disclosed. Display 350 and buttons/keys 302-311 and 314-330 are shown, as claimed in claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen. As applied to claim 1, above in further view of the U.S. Patent to White.

 The White reference teaches the setting of either the time of day or alarm time in alarm clock (see col. 3, line 43) by means of remote control 10. Thus, it would be obvious for

one skilled in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide an alarm feature in the clock devices of Yuen and use the remote control thereof to set and control the same, as taught by White, as an additional well known feature useful to the user in a clock device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 571-272-2107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-2107.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VM 3/30/2004 Vit Miska Primary Examiner